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## Researcher’s identification and general context of the evaluation

* *Name:*
* *Scientific database IDs ORCID, CiênciaID, ResearchGate, ResearcherID:*
* *Year of PhD (and habilitation):*
* *Year of entering LIP:*
* *Year of last LIP evaluation:*
* *Type, professional category and end date of current work contract:*
* *LIP groups and/or infrastructures and/or competence centers where the researcher is integrated:*
* *LIP groups and/or infrastructures and/or competence centers that the researcher coordinates:*

## Activity Summary (>= 1.5 pages)

**A Scientific and/or technological activity***.*

*Indicate your main scientific or technological achievements of the period under evaluation, clarifying your contribution in the case of collective achievements. Include a list of up to 5 of your most relevant publications or other type of scientific productivity indicators[[1]](#footnote-1) achieved in the period under evaluation. If applicable, you should also mention other indicators that you consider relevant (prizes, construction of prototypes, indicators of external use of technologies or methods).*

[your text here]

**B Participation or coordination of teams and projects.**

*Indicate the most relevant teams in which your work is integrated, namely the LIP groups/projects, the collaboration working groups, teams from other institutes with which you collaborate more closely, and other networks you may consider relevant.*

*If you have coordination roles in those teams, even if informally, please indicate that.*

*If you coordinate funded project, indicate them. If you have any role as a reviewer in the context of scientific journals, funding agencies, research institutes or international collaborations, indicate that as well.*

[your text here]

**C Advanced training and academic activity.**

*Indicate, if applicable in your case, if you have supervised students and at what levels (from internships to PhD), if you have participated in advanced training courses or workshops, and/or if you have any academic activity at Universities. (Note: Academic activity at the Universities is not a requirement for maximum grade in this criterion.)*

[your text here]

***D Science and society activities / Internal life of LIP.*** *Indicate if you have some participation in outreach, technology transfer or other external connection activities, as well as participation in the internal life of LIP.*

*These activities can include, but are not restricted to: organization or participation in outreach events; organization of LIP seminars or others scientific events; activities of connection to society, namely in contexts of technology transfer and/or contacts for the participation of companies in projects; public intervention in questions of science/society interface; participation in LIP internal bodies or responsibilities delegated by them or representation of LIP in external entities; support to the scientific, technological and societal activity of LIP, with activities integrated in the plans of the LIP infrastructures competence centers or internal offices.*

[your text here]

## Reflection about the activity summary (~ 0.5 / 1 page)

*With respect to the period under evaluation, please address the following questions:*

* *What are the goals that were achieved and those that weren’t? What were the most relevant difficulties? What could have you done better or differently to achieve your goals?*
* *About the future, what lines of activity do you intend to pursue? Academic/research/industry career? What are the main obstacles you perceive towards that goal?*
* *What is the main “added-value” you bring to LIP?*

*You can address these issues in the format you see fit. Another possible way is to state your goals and do a SWOT analysis (S – Main strengths or added-values; W – Main weaknesses or difficulties; O – Opportunities; T – Threats).*

[your text here]

## Plan for the next 3 years (>=1 page)

*About the plan for the next 3 years, please follow a structure similar to the activity summary description:*

* 1. ***Scientific and/or technological activity.*** *What are the great questions you aim to answer? What scientific or technological results you hope to achieve? Or for which you hope to contribute significantly in the context of a collaboration? How do those expectations compare with the international competition of other collaborations or other technologies aimed at the same goals?*

[your text here]

* 1. ***Participation or coordination of teams and projects.*** *Do you have a “big idea” that you intend to submit for funding projects, or to propose to a working group in the collaboration? What is missing so you can structure those projects better?*

[your text here]

* 1. ***Academic activity, including student supervision.*** *Do you intend to start, maintain or conclude a collaboration with an academic institution? In the case you intend to increase the number of student supervisions, what is your plan to reach that?*

[your text here]

* 1. ***Internal life of LIP/Science and society. LIP:*** *What are your plans with respect to activities of LIP internal life, if any? What are your plans towards connection to society? (This includes outreach, connection to industry/companies, participation in public debates). Do you aim to increase, keep or reduce your activity with respect to the present one?*

[your text here]

## Any other comments

*You can add here any other aspect you see relevant for this evaluation.*

[your text here]

1. See the following link for the FCT definition of these indicators (page 9): https://www.fct.pt/apoios/unidades/equipas/docs/GuiaoEquipas\_2015.pdf [↑](#footnote-ref-1)