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## General information

* *Researcher’s name:*
* *Period covered by this evaluation:*
* *Type, professional category and end date of current work contract:*
* *Name of evaluation panel members:*
* *Date of interview:*

## Review Report

According to the LIP Scientific Council Regulation, after analysing the activity summary, the panel sought in the interview to clarify aspects of the work carried out in the last years and, taking into account LIP’s scientific strategy and the researcher’s scientific independence, help to establish the work plan to be developed in the near future. Both these aspects are reviewed according to the four main criteria established in the guidelines and the Activity Summary template:

* A - Scientific and/or technological activity
* B - Participation or coordination of teams and projects
* C - Academic activity, including student supervision
* D - Internal life of LIP/Science and society

In each of those criteria, the panel should provide a classification:

No Activity / Not Applicable

1. Insufficient
2. Needs improvement
3. Good
4. Very Good
5. Excellent

## A - Scientific and/or technological activity

*Review of the past years:*

[your text here]

*Review of the presented plan:*

[your text here]

*Classification:* [your text here]

## B - Participation or coordination of teams and projects

*Review of the past years:*

[your text here]

*Review of the presented plan:*

[your text here]

*Classification:* [your text here]

## C – Advanced training and academic activity

*Review of the past years:*

[your text here]

*Review of the presented plan:*

[your text here]

*Classification:* [your text here]

## D - Science and society/ Internal life of LIP

*Review of the past years:*

[your text here]

*Review of the presented plan:*

[your text here]

*Classification:* [your text here]

## Overall Assessment

*Please summarize the assessment and comments on the researcher’s activity and plans.*

[your text here]

*Please include the overall classification of 1 to 5 and the actual weights used, respecting the indicated ranges. If the ±0.25 discretionary adjustment was used, please indicate the justification for that. The classification values for all criteria and the final one must be integer numbers.*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Criteria* | *Weight (range)* | *Weight used* | *Classification* |
| *A* | *0.4 - 0.7* |  |  |
| *B* | *0.1- 0.4* |  |  |
| *C* | *0.1 - 0.2* |  |  |
| *D* | *0.05 - 0.30* |  |  |
| *Average* |  |  |  |
| *Final* |  |  |  |